

I hereby certify that the
1 annexed instrument is a true
and correct copy of the original
2 on file in my office.

ATTEST: BRUCE RIFKIN
Clerk, U. S. District Court
3 Western District of Washington

By Connelly J. Lederman
Deputy Clerk

nas S. Zilly



07-CV-01017-FINAFF

JUDGED ENTERED

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE
DEPUTY

WILLIAM STANFORD, JR., individually and) Case No. C07-1017 TSZ
on behalf of all other similarly situated persons)
and on behalf of the FOAMEX L.P. SAVINGS)
PLAN,

Plaintiffs,) ORDER GRANTING
v.) MOTION BY FOAMEX L.P. AND
FOAMEX L.P. BENEFITS
COMMITTEE TO TRANSFER VENUE
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)

FOAMEX L.P.; FOAMEX L.P. BENEFITS
COMMITTEE; FIDELITY MANAGEMENT
TRUST CO.; and UNKNOWN FIDUCIARY
DEFENDANTS 1-100,

Defendants.

unopposed

The Court having considered the motion by Foamex L.P. and the Foamex L.P. Benefits Committee to transfer venue to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, supporting exhibits, and any opposition thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that Foamex L.P. and Foamex L.P. Benefits Committee's Motion is granted. The Court hereby TRANSFERS this action

DATED this 29 day of September, 2007.

*to the Eastern District of
Pennsylvania.*

Thomas S. Zilly
United States District Court Judge

[PROPD] ORDER RE MOT. TO TRANSFER VENUE -- PAGE 1

Case No. C07-1017 TSZ

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOKRUS, LLP
1701 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103
TELEPHONE: (215) 963-5000
FACSIMILE: (215) 963-5001

(1)

CMR

FILED
 LODGED ENTERED
 RECEIVED

JUL 03 2007

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

(1) 7 42 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
 AT SEATTLE

WILLIAM STANFORD, JR., individually
 and on behalf of all other similarly situated
 persons and on behalf of the FOAMEX L.P.
 SAVINGS PLAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

FOAMEX L.P.; FOAMEX L.P. BENEFITS
 COMMITTEE; FIDELITY MANAGEMENT
 TRUST CO.; and UNKNOWN FIDUCIARY
 DEFENDANTS 1-100,

Defendants.

NO.

C 07-1017 TSZ

COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION



07-CV-01017-CMP

A. NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is a civil enforcement action brought pursuant to section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1132.
2. This lawsuit is for breach of fiduciary duty concerning the 401(k) plan sponsored by Foamex L.P. ("Foamex"). It is brought pursuant to ERISA, the federal statute that comprehensively regulates such plans.
3. This lawsuit concerns the Foamex L.P. Savings Plan (the "Plan"), a retirement plan established as benefit for Foamex employees.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1

ORIGINAL

SIRIANNI YOUTZ
 MEIER & SPOONMORE
 719 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1100
 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
 TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246

1 4. Plaintiff William Stanford, Jr. is a current Foamex employee who is a
 2 participant in the Plan. Defendants are Foamex, the Foamex L.P. Benefits Committee
 3 (the "Committee"), Fidelity Management Trust Company ("Fidelity"), and various other
 4 persons who were responsible for managing the Plan or who exercised authority or
 5 control respecting management or disposition of the Plan's assets (the Plan's
 6 "fiduciaries").

7 5. As a substantive matter, Plaintiff alleges, among other things, that
 8 these fiduciaries breached their fiduciary duties with respect to the investment of the
 9 Plan's holdings of stock of Foamex International, Inc., in the Foamex Stock Fund. As a
 10 procedural matter, Plaintiff seeks to bring the lawsuit to recover losses suffered by the
 11 Plan as a class action, that being one of the available procedural vehicles for a claim of
 12 this type. And, as a remedial matter, Plaintiff seeks, among other things, to have the
 13 breaching fiduciaries make good to the Plan the massive losses it suffered as a result of
 14 their breaches.

15 **B. THE PLAN AND THE FOAMEX STOCK FUND**

16 6. The Foamex L.P. Savings Plan is an "employee pension benefit
 17 plan" within the meaning of ERISA § 3(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A). The Plan is an
 18 "individual account plan" within the meaning of ERISA § 3(32), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(32).
 19 The Plan is a profit sharing plan within the meaning of section 401(a)(27) of the Internal
 20 Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), 26 U.S.C. § 401(a)(27), and includes a "qualified cash
 21 or deferred arrangement" within the meaning of Code section 401(k), 26 U.S.C. § 401(k).

22 7. ERISA requires that a plan be "established and maintained pursuant
 23 to a written instrument." ERISA § 402(a)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1022(a)(1). The currently
 24 effective written instrument for the Plan is the Foamex L.P. Savings Plan, as amended
 25 and restated effective January 1, 2001, executed December 10, 2001 (the "2001 Plan

1 Document"), as amended by Amendment No. 1 to The Foamex L.P. Savings Plan,
2 adopted December 10, 2002, by Amendment No. 2 to The Foamex L.P. Savings Plan,
3 adopted March 24, 2004, by Revised Amendment No. 2 to The Foamex L.P. Savings Plan,
4 adopted March 24, 2004, adopted May 20, 2004, by Amendment No. 3 to The Foamex
5 L.P. Savings Plan, adopted September 20, 2004, and by Amendment No. 4 to The Foamex
6 L.P. Savings Plan, adopted September 8, 2005.

7 8. The 2001 Plan Document defines several terms relevant to this
8 action. Section 1.26 defines "Employer Stock" as "the common stock of Foamex
9 International, Inc." Section 1.32 defines the Foamex Stock Fund as

10 a non-diversified stock fund that invests solely in Employer
11 Stock. All such shares of Employer Stock to be held in the
12 Foamex Stock Fund shall be acquired exclusively through
13 purchases on the open market. Dividends, if any, shall be
used, as soon as practicable, to purchase additional such
shares of Employer stock.

14 9. Under the 2001 Plan Document, and until its amendment by
15 Amendment No. 4, Plan participants were permitted to direct the investment of the
16 assets allocated to their individual accounts into the Foamex Stock Fund. In addition,
17 Foamex made "Incentive Matching Contributions" that were invested into the Foamex
18 Stock Fund.

C. PARTIES

20 10. Plaintiff William Stanford, Jr. is a resident of Mesquite, Texas.
21 Plaintiff has been employed at Foamex since 1992. At all relevant times, he was a
22 participant (as defined in ERISA § 3(7), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(7)) in the Plan. A portion of the
23 assets in Mr. Stanford's individual account under the Plan was invested in the Foamex
24 Stock Fund beginning in 1995 and through its liquidation on December 22, 2006.
25 Plaintiff is authorized to bring this action by ERISA §§ 409(a) and 502(a)(2) and (3), 29

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 3

SIRIANNI YOUTZ
MEIER & SPOONEMORE
719 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1100
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246

1 U.S.C. § 1109 and 132(a)(2) and (3), which confer standing to bring breach of fiduciary
 2 duty claims on, among others, participants in an employee benefit plan.

3 11. Defendant Foamex L.P. is a limited partnership organized under the
 4 laws of Pennsylvania. Foamex is the Plan's "sponsor," within the meaning of ERISA
 5 § 3(16)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(B). On information and belief, Foamex exercised
 6 authority or control with respect to the management or disposition of the Plan's assets in
 7 the Foamex Stock Fund during the time when the breaches alleged herein occurred.
 8 Foamex was therefore a fiduciary of the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29
 9 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A) with respect to the disposition of the Plan's assets in the Foamex
 10 Stock Fund during the time when the breaches alleged herein occurred.

11 12. Defendant Foamex L.P. Benefits Committee (the "Committee")
 12 consists of members appointed by Foamex. Under the Plan's plan document, the
 13 Committee has complete authority to control and manage the operation and
 14 administration of the Plan. On information and belief, the Committee or individual
 15 defendant Committee member(s) exercised authority or control with respect to
 16 management or disposition of the Plan's assets in the Foamex Stock Fund during the
 17 time when the breaches alleged herein occurred. The Committee and its members were
 18 therefore fiduciaries of the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C.
 19 § 1002(21)(A) with respect to the disposition of the Plan's assets in the Foamex Stock
 20 Fund during the time when the breaches alleged herein occurred.

21 13. Defendant Fidelity Management Trust Co. ("Fidelity") is a
 22 corporation incorporated under the laws of the state of Massachusetts. At all relevant
 23 times Fidelity was the trustee of the trust that holds the assets of the Plan, including Plan
 24 assets invested in the Foamex Stock Fund. On information and belief, Fidelity exercised
 25 authority or control with respect to management or disposition of the Plan's assets in the

1 Foamex Stock Fund during the time when the breaches alleged herein occurred. Fidelity
2 was therefore a fiduciary of the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C.
3 § 1002(21)(A), with respect to the disposition of the Plan's assets in the Foamex Stock
4 Fund during the time when the breaches alleged herein occurred.

5 14. The Plan is a legal entity that can sue or be sued. ERISA
6 § 502(d)(l), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(d)(l). However, in a breach of fiduciary duty action such as
7 this, the Plan is neither a plaintiff nor a defendant. Rather, pursuant to ERISA § 409,
8 29 U.S.C. § 1109, the relief requested in this action is for the benefit of the Plan. Stated
9 differently, in this action Plaintiff seeks to recover the losses suffered by the Plan at the
10 Plan level.

D. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12 15. *Subject Matter Jurisdiction.* This is a civil enforcement action
13 pursuant to ERISA § 502(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a). This Court has original, exclusive
14 subject matter jurisdiction of this action pursuant to the specific jurisdictional statutes for
15 claims of this type, ERISA § 502(e)-(f), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)-(f). This Court also subject
16 matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1131.

17 16. ***Personal Jurisdiction.*** ERISA provides for nation-wide service of
18 process, ERISA § 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2). All of the Defendants are residents of
19 the United States and this Court therefore has personal jurisdiction over them.

20 17. *Venue.* Venue is proper in this District under ERISA § 502(e)(2),
21 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2), because defendant Foamex resides or may be found in this
22 District.

E. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

18. *Size and Definition of Class.* The class consists of Plan participants and beneficiaries whose individual accounts were invested in the Foamex

1 Stock Fund between July 19, 2005, and its liquidation on December 22, 2006 (the
2 "Liquidation Date").

3 19. *Class Representative.* Named plaintiff William Stanford, Jr. has
4 been a Plan participant at all times material to this action. A portion of his individual
5 account was invested in the Foamex Stock Fund between July 19, 2005, and the
6 Liquidation Date. His claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the class,
7 and he will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.

8 20. *Size of Class.* The class of persons whose individual accounts
9 were invested in the Foamex Stock Fund between July 19, 2005 and the Liquidation Date
10 is expected to number in the hundreds and is so large that joinder of all members is
11 impracticable.

12 21. *Common Questions of Law and Fact.* This action requires a
13 determination of common questions of law and fact, which include: (a) whether the
14 defendants were fiduciaries; (b) whether the defendants breached their fiduciary
15 obligations to the Plan's participants and beneficiaries by causing or permitting the
16 change in the holdings of the Foamex Stock Fund in a manner that conflicts with its
17 definition in the Plan's plan document; (c) whether the defendants breached their
18 fiduciary obligations with respect to transfers out of the Foamex Stock Fund in a manner
19 that conflicts with the Plan's plan document; (d) whether the defendants breached their
20 fiduciary obligations to the Plan's participants and beneficiaries by causing or permitting
21 sale of the Foamex International, Inc., stock held in the Foamex Stock Fund when
22 Foamex International, Inc., stock was at or near its historic low; (e) whether the
23 defendants breached their fiduciary obligations to the Plan's participants and
24 beneficiaries by enabling co-fiduciaries to commit violations of ERISA and, with
25 knowledge of such breaches, failing to make reasonable efforts to remedy the breaches;

1 and (e) whether, as a result of the fiduciary breaches engaged in by the defendants, the
2 Plan and its participants and beneficiaries suffered losses. Determination of these
3 common questions will determine whether plaintiff and the class are entitled to recovery
4 for these breaches, and related breaches, under ERISA.

5 22. *Foamex Has Acted On Grounds Generally Applicable to the*
6 *Class.* Defendants' conduct affected all members of the class. All defendants therefore
7 acted on grounds generally applicable to the class. Certification is therefore proper
8 under CR 23(b)(2).

9 23. *Questions of Law and Fact Common to the Class Predominate*
10 *Over Individual Issues.* Many of the claims of the individual class members are too
11 small to justify filing and prosecuting the claims separately. Thus, any interest that
12 individual members of the class may have in individually controlling the prosecution of
13 separate actions is outweighed by the efficiency of the class action mechanism. Upon
14 information and belief, there has been no class action suit filed against the defendants for
15 the relief requested in this action. This action can be properly prosecuted as a class
16 action in the Western District of Washington, where the Plan sponsor, Foamex L.P.,
17 resides and does business. Issues as to the defendants' conduct in applying standard
18 policies and practices towards all members of the class predominate over questions, if
19 any, unique to members of the class. Certification is therefore additionally proper under
20 CR 23(b)(3).

21 24. *Class Counsel.* Plaintiff has retained experienced and competent
22 class counsel.

F. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

25. On September 8, 2005, the Committee adopted Amendment No. 4 to The Foamex L.P. Savings Plan, which, among other things, provided that effective July 20, 2005, a Plan participant could no longer

direct new investments into, or transfer existing investments into or out of, the Foamex Stock Fund. All investments in the Foamex Stock Fund as of July 19, 2005 shall remain in the Foamex Stock Fund until moved into an alternative investment fund or distributed according to the terms of the Plan.

26. The definition of the Foamex Stock Fund as "a non-diversified stock fund that invests solely in Employer stock" was not amended by Amendment No. 4 to The Foamex L.P. Savings Plan.

27. On information and belief, prior to July 19, 2005, the Foamex Stock Fund was comprised of about 95 percent Foamex International, Inc., stock and 5 percent cash.

28. On information and belief, in September 2005, Fidelity, at the direction of Foamex, sold Foamex International, Inc., stock from the Foamex Stock Fund to increase the cash holdings of the Foamex Stock Fund to 20 percent.

29. On information and belief, in early January 2006, Fidelity, at the direction of Foamex, sold Foamex International, Inc., stock from the Foamex Stock Fund to increase the cash holding of the Foamex Stock Fund to 50 percent.

30. On information and belief, by January 30, 2006, Fidelity, at the direction of Foamex, sold the rest of the Foamex International, Inc., stock in the Foamex Stock Fund, thereby increasing the cash holdings of the Foamex Stock Fund to 100 percent. Further, Fidelity instructed Foamex that this was the proper course of action.

1 31. In February 2006, the price of Foamex International, Inc., stock
2 began to rise. On information and belief, the defendants began purchasing Foamex
3 International, Inc., stock back into the Foamex Stock Fund on behalf of the Plan at a price
4 much higher than they sold the Foamex International, Inc., stock for just one month
5 prior. By the end of February 2006, the Fund was comprised of about 50 percent Foamex
6 International, Inc., stock and 50 percent cash.

7 32. None of the defendants had the power or authority to ignore the
8 defined purpose of the Foamex Stock Fund, as specifically defined in the Plan's plan
9 document, and sell off the Foamex International, Inc., stock held in the Foamex Stock
10 Fund.

11 33. As a result of the various sales and purchases of Foamex
12 International, Inc., stock held in the Foamex Stock Fund, the defendants caused the Plan
13 and the Plan's participants and beneficiaries to miss out on enormous gains.

G. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM:

**BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES IN VIOLATION OF
ERISA § 404(A)(1)(A)-(D), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(A)(1)(A)-(D)**

17 34. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 33, above.

18 35. At all relevant times, each of the defendants acted as a fiduciary
19 under ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A) with respect to the disposition of the
20 Plan's assets in the Foamex Stock Fund, and each defendant was a co-fiduciary of the
21 others, ERISA § 405, 29 U.S.C. § 1105.

22 36. ERISA imposes strict fiduciary duties upon plan fiduciaries.
23 ERISA § 404(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a), states, in relevant part, that:

[A] fiduciary shall discharge his duties with respect to a plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries and—

(A) for the exclusive purpose of

(i) providing benefits to participants and their beneficiaries; and

(ii) defraying reasonable expenses of administering the plan;

(B) with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent man acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of like character and with like aims;

(C) by diversifying the investments of the plan so as to minimize the risk of large losses, unless under the circumstances it is clearly prudent not to do so; and

(D) in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the plan insofar as such documents and instruments are consistent with the provisions of this title and Title IV.

37. ERISA § 409(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1109(a), states, in relevant part:

Any person who is a fiduciary with respect to a plan who breaches any of the responsibilities, obligations, or duties imposed upon fiduciaries by this title shall be personally liable to make good to such plan any losses to the Plan resulting from each such breach, and to restore to such plan any profits of such fiduciary which have been made through each such breach, and to restore to such plan any profits of such fiduciary which have been made through use of assets of the Plan by the fiduciary, and shall be subject to such other equitable or remedial relief as the court may deem appropriate, including removal of such fiduciary.

38. The defendants violated their obligations under ERISA

§§ 404(a)(1)(A)-(D), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1104(a)(1)(A)-(D) by failing act in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the Plan, which stated that the Foamex Stock Fund was "a non-diversified stock fund that invested solely in Employer stock." By

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 10

SIRIANNI YOUTZ
MEIER & SPOONEMORE
719 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1100
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL. (206) 223-0303 FAX (206) 223-0246

making unauthorized sales of Foamex International, Inc., stock from the Foamex Stock Fund, the defendants violated the terms of the Plan documents and breached their fiduciary duties to the plaintiff, the Plan's participants and beneficiaries.

4 39. In addition, under the terms of Amendment 4, as of July 20, 2005, all
5 participants and beneficiaries were prohibited from transferring "existing investments
6 into or out of, the Foamex Stock Fund" and "[a]ll investments in the Foamex Stock Fund
7 as of July 19, 2005 shall remain in the Foamex Stock Fund until moved into an alternative
8 investment fund or distributed according to the terms of the Plan." However, according
9 to Foamex International Inc.'s Form 11-K, filed with the SEC on June 29, 2006,
10 participants and beneficiaries were, in fact, allowed to transfer investments out of the
11 Foamex Stock Fund prior to its liquidation on December 22, 2006.

12 40. As a direct and proximate result of these acts and omissions,
13 plaintiff, the Plan and the Plan's participants and beneficiaries have suffered losses and
14 are entitled to relief under ERISA.

15 41. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, the class and the Plan, prays for relief
16 compelling the defendants to make good the losses to the Plan by restoring to the Plan
17 the loss of value in the Fund, including opportunity losses and interest, as a result of the
18 defendants' breaches of their fiduciary duties.

**SECOND CLAIM:
BREACH OF DUTY UNDER ERISA § 405, 29 U.S.C. § 1105**

42. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 41, above.

21 43. At all relevant times, each of the defendants acted as a fiduciary
22 under ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A) with respect to the disposition of the
23 Plan's assets in the Foamex Stock Fund, and each defendant was a co-fiduciary of the
24 others under ERISA § 405, 29 U.S.C. § 1105.

⁴⁴ ERISA § 405, 29 U.S.C. § 1105, states, in relevant part, that

In addition to any liability which he may have under any other provisions of this part, a fiduciary with respect to a plan shall be liable for a breach of fiduciary responsibility of another fiduciary with respect to the same plan in the following circumstances:

- (1) if he participates knowingly in, or knowingly undertakes to conceal, an act or omission of such other fiduciary, knowing such act or omission is a breach;
 - (2) if, by his failure to comply with section 1104(a)(1) of this title in the administration of his specific responsibilities which give rise to his status as a fiduciary, he has enabled such other fiduciary to commit a breach; or
 - (3) if he has knowledge of a breach by such other fiduciary, unless he makes reasonable efforts under the circumstances to remedy the breach.

45. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' acts and omissions described above, the Plan and its participants and beneficiaries have been damaged and are entitled to relief under ERISA.

46. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, the class and the Plan, prays for relief compelling the defendants to make good the losses to the Plan by restoring to the Plan the losses incurred as a result of the defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty.

**THIRD CLAIM:
ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS UNDER ERISA § 502(g), 29 U.S.C. 1132(g)**

47. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 46, above.
 48. Plaintiff and plaintiff's class are entitled to attorney fees and costs under ERISA § 502(g), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g).

H. DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests that this Court:

1. Certify this case as a class action, designate the named plaintiff as class representative, and designate SIRIANI YOUTZ MEIER & SPOONEMORE and EKMAN, BOURRER & THULIN, P.S., as class counsel;
 2. Declare that the defendants violated ERISA in the manner set forth above;
 3. Order the defendants to make good the losses, including opportunity losses, sustained by the Plan and its participants and beneficiaries due to the defendants' breaches of their fiduciary duties;
 4. Order the defendants to make good the losses, including opportunity losses and interest, sustained by the Plan and its participants and beneficiaries due to the defendants' breaches of their fiduciary duties;
 5. Award plaintiff and the class their attorney fees; and
 6. Award such other relief as is just and proper.

DATED: July 2, 2007.

SIRIANNI YOUTZ
MEIER & SPOONEMORE

~~Richard E. Spoonemore (WSBA #21833)~~

EKMAN, BOHRER & THULIN, P.S.
Charles H. Thulin (WSBA #9039)
220 W. Mercer Street, Suite 400
Seattle, WA 98119

Attorneys for Plaintiff William Stanford, Jr.

JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

C 07-1017 TSZ

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of summons and other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

WILLIAM STANFORD, JR., individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons and on behalf of the FOAMEX L.P. SAVINGS PLAN

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Dallas County, Texas
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Richard E. Spoonemore (WSBA #21833)

SIRIANNI YOUTZ MEIER & SPOONEMORE

719 Second Avenue, Suite 1100, Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: (206) 223-0303; Fax: (206) 223-0246; Email: rspoone more@sylaw.com

DEFENDANTS

FOAMEX L.P.; FOAMEX L.P. BENEFITS COMMITTEE; FIDELITY MANAGEMENT TRUST CO.; and UNKNOWN FIDUCIARY DEFENDANTS
1-100

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT _____

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)

	PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF	
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans' Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 180 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 198 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 388 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Properly Damage Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 382 Personal Injury—Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Personal Injury—Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 388 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 388 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Properly Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 640 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 575 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 590 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 591 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 592 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 593 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 594 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 595 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 600 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities—Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities—Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Other Civil Rights	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence/Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition	PRISONER PETITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 750 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Emp. Rel. Inc. Security Act	LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 611 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 642 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 653 DWC/DIWV (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 664 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))
			SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 661 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 642 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 653 DWC/DIWV (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 664 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 671 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609

V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING (DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY):

ERISA § 502(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CAUSE:

Class action under ERISA for breaches of fiduciary duty

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: YES NOVIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions:
IF ANY)

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

July 3, 2007

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Richard E. Spoonemore, WSBA #21833

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFF _____



ORIGINAL

07-CV-01017-CVSHT